

# आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय,

Office of the Commissioner (Appeal), केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयक्तालय, अहमदाबाद



Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad রীখনেটা সবল, ব্যবহর মার্ল, প্রস্নায়ার্কী প্রক্রোমার ১৫০০ণে, CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad ৪৪০০১১ ইন্সাই ব্যবহারতির্বাচন

pin-70231164SW0000622753

#### रक्षिस्टर्भ सक्त ए सी दास

क पाइल संख्या File No : GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3281/2023-APPEAL SUS6- 6

ब्र अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-CGST-003-APP-JC- 49 /2023-24

दिलांक Date :21.11.2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue : 24.11.2023 श्री आदेश कुमार जैन शंकुत अनुत (अनेत) इस बर्गत

Passed by Shri Adesh Kumar Jain, Joint Commissioner (Appeals)

न Arising out of Order-in-Original No. ZA241023132034E dated 26.10.2023 issued by The Superintendent, CGST, Ahmedabad.

। अपीसकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent

		Appellant	Respondent	1
		f/s Aarohi Milk Agency	The Superintendent, CGST, Ahmedabad	
	- 0	Legal Name: Bharavad Jivanbhai		
	1.0	lanubhai), Ambala, Taxshila Society,		
_	A	lakan no 19, 24. GJMEH, 384210		
	{A]	इस आरोधान्योत्तान् रे त्यपित कोई व्यक्ति प्रीम्याधितीयः तरीचे में उपयुक्त आरिकरों / आर्थिकरण के तत्तात्र उपीता द्यार वह सकता है। Any person aggriaved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.		
(i)		National Bench or Regional Bench of Appella one of the issues involved relates to place of s	te Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the ca- upply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.	ies where
(ii)		State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as mentioned in para- (A)(ii) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017		
(11)			as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 an for every Rs. One Laish of Tax or input Tax Credit inwish or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in Twenty-Five Thousand.	
(B)		occuments either electronically or as may be 05, on common portal as prescribed under Ru of the order appealed against within seven da		GST APL- by a copy
(1)		(i) Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fin admitted/accepted by the appellan (ii) A sum equal to texts which the parameter amount paid under Section 10/16) of 0 the appeal has been filed.	of the remaining amount of Tax in dispute, in additi GST Act, 2017, arising from the said order, in relation	der, as is on to the to which
(11)			val of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated 03.12.2019 has in three months from the date of communication of sident, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribun	
(C)		लिए, अपीलाथी विभागीय वेबसाइटwww.cbic.go	रूने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नयीनतम प्राव Lin को देख सकते हैं।	



#### ORDER IN APPEAL

### Brief Facts of the Case:-

This appeal has been filed under Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") by M/s. Aarohi Milk Agency (Legal Name: Bharward Jivambhai Namubhai), Ambala, Tezshila Society, Makan No. 19, 24, 0.JMEH 384210 (hereinafter referred to as "Appellant") against the Order No. ZA241023130245 date 25.0.0203 (hereinafter referred to as "Impugned Order") passed by the Superintendent, CGST, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as "the Adjudicating Authority/Proper Officer").

2. Facts of the case, in brief, are that the appellant has applied for new GST registration under distributor of Amul fresh product in the area of Hansalpur-Bechanji, where they applied for registration vide ARN AA241023022869B dated 08.10.2023. In response to said application a Notice for seeking additional information / clarification / documents was issued to the appellant on 13.10.2023 and asked to submit reply. Thereafter, the adjusticating authority has rejected the Application vide inpugmed order dated 0.50.10.2023, wherein mentioned that -



"Uploaded registered sale deed is for some other place, which does not prove the address mentioned in PPOB. Purther, uploaded electricity bill is not legible, whereas other uploaded document does not reveals the complete and identifiable address. Hence, application is rejected uf /9(4) of the CGST Rules, 2017".

- Being aggrieved with the impugned order dated 26.10.2023 the appellant has preferred the present appeal on 06.11.2023. In the appeal memo the appellant has submitted that-
  - That the applicant has filed application in accordance with all relevant laws, regulations and guidelines as outlined in the application requirements and provide all the relevant documents;
  - That the applicant has uploaded following at the time of application for GST registration i.e. Bonafide Certificate of Amul fed dairy, Notaurised consent letter, index copy, electricity bill, property tax bill, register sale deed, notorised affidavit of address of PPOB, photo of PPOB with proprietor, Aadhar and Pan card of proprietor, Proprietor photo, Aadhar card of owner.

- That owner purchase pot no. 19 and executed sale deed with plot no. 19 dated 10.10.2018 bechar which is village arealdetailed highlighted in sale deed and then after construct residential dawning on same with Makam no. 19 hence there is no mismatch in address of PPOB with sale deed and sale deed pertain to same premises.
- That there is no mismatch in address of all the above document except light bill as survey no. 333-3-1 and Maken no. 19 not written in the light bill and Notarized affidavit for the same is provided;
- That applicant is residing at bechar, which is a village area with no more address latitude and longitude and because of that applicant's PFOB address is only as "Survey no. 331-3-1, Makan No. 19, Tucshila Soolety, Bechar Bechargi Ti-Bechargi, Diet. Mehsana, Gujarat-384210;

In view of above, the appellant has made prayer to restore their Registration application.

#### Personal Hearing:

Personal Hearing in the matter was held on 20.11.2023 wherein litiran Sisodiya, C.A. appeared on behalf of the appellant as authorized bring the help as stated that all documents has aiready been builted but application of Registration have been rejected without going into lacts of the issue. All desired documents have been submitted alongwith appeal memo also. Purther they want to start distribution of Amul products which are tawable and bonafide certificate of Amul has also been submitted. In view of above requested to allow appeal.

### Discussion and Findings:

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submission made by the appealant and documents available on record. Since the issue relate to rejection of Application of OST registration, at the outset I refer to relevant statutory provisions governing rejection of application of Amendment of OST registration as under:

## Rule 9 of CGST Rules, 2017:

(2) Where the application submitted under rule 8 is found to be deficient, either in terms of any information or any document required to be furnished under the said rule, or where the proper officer requires any clarification with regard to any information provided in the application or documents furnished therewith, he may issue a notice to the applicant electronically in PONM GST REG-GS within a period of Fjescenj working days from the date of submission of the application and the applicant shall furnish such clarification, information or documents

electronically, in FORM GST REG-04, within a period of seven working days from the date of the receipt of such notice.

### Provided that where -

(a) a person, other than a person notified under sub-section (6D) of section 25, falls to undergo authentication of Aadhaar number as specified in sub-rule (4A) of rule 8 or does not opt for authentication of Aadhaar number; or

[laaja person, who has undergone authentication of Aadhaar number as specified in sub-rule (4A) of rule 8, is identified on the common portal, based on data analysis and risk parameters, for carrying out physical verification of places of business; of

(b) the proper officer, with the approval of an officer authorised by the Commissioner not below the rank of Assistant Commissioner, deems it fit to carry out physical verification of places of business,

the notice in FORM GST REG-03 may be issued not later than thirty days from the date of submission of the application.]

Replanation - For the purposes of this sub-rule, the expression 'darification' colores modification or correction of particulars declared in the application registration, other than 'Permanent Account Number, State, proble number and e-mail address declared in Part A of PORM GST REG.

(8) Where the proper officer is satisfied with the clarification, information or documents furnished by the applicant, he may approve the grant of registration to the applicant within a period of seven working days from the date of the receipt of such clarification or information or documents.

(4) Where no reply is furnished by the applicant is response to the notice issued under sub-rule (2) or where the proper officer is not satisfied with exclarification, tiperantian or documents furnished, he "finally, for reasons to be recorded in uniting, reject such application and inform the applicant electronically in PORN GST REPO-S.

6. In the impugned order Application was rejected dux to uploaded registered sale deed is for some other place, which does not prove the address mentioned in PFOB. Further, uploaded electricity bill is not legble, whereas other uploaded document does not reveals the complete and identifiable observed from the documents made available to this office that the appellant complied with queries raised in the above cause notice. Therefore, findings made in this regard is factually wrong and hence this reason for rejection of registration is not a justifiable and sustainable reason. Rule 9 of COST Rules, 2017 envisage that the proper officer, if not satisfied with the clarification,

information or documents furnished, can reject the application for registration for the reasons to be recorded in writing. Proviso 2 to Rule 9 further empowers the proper officer to carry out physical verification of premises. In the subject case, even though the appellant has submitted clarification/additional information/documents, no reasons was recorded in writing with regard to the same. On the contrary the application was rejected due to unsatisfactory/non submission of compliance to notice. However, during appeal the appellant in respect of queries raised in the notice, produced copies of required documents as mentioned above.

In view of above, the impugned order passed by the adjudicating 7. authority rejecting application for new registration on the reasons mentioned therein is not legal and proper and deserve to be set aside. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant with a directed to submit all the relevant documents/submission before the Registration Authority, who shall verify the facts and after physical verification of place of business, pass order accordingly.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.



Date: 91.11.2023



By R.P.A.D.

Attested

M/s. Aarohi Milk Agency, (Legal Name: Bharavad Jivanbhai Nanubhai),

Ambala, Texshila Society,

Makan No. 19, 24, GJMEH 384210.

Copy to

The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Gandhinagar Commissionerate.

The Dy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-Mehsana, Gandhinagar Commissionerate.

The Superintendent, Range - I, Division - Mehsana, Ga Commissionerate. The Superintendent (Systems), CGST Appeals, Ahmedabad.

Guard File. P.A. File



